They then proceeded to explain where the Office Action was deficient in pointing out where the applied references (Scofield) uses the claimed magnetic material associated with a flywheel. The claimed arrangement constitutes a unitary flywheel-alternator assembly. Applicants believed and still believe that this difference is a salient distinction that, by itself, patentably defines their invention over the Schofield device. Applicant have thus more than merely narrowed the issues, they have focused the issue in a laser-like way, still awaiting the Office's response on the merits. And they have further explained at page 10 of their said paper why the claimed arrangement that is not taught by Schofield produces results not sought or achievable with Schofield's current supply device.

Said Notice also refers to the need for specifically pointing out support for any amendments. Presumably, this statement refers to the amendment to rejected Claims 34, 35, 42 and 51. As regards Claims 34, support for those amendment is shown in, for example, Figure 4, and is described, *inter alia*, at paragraphs [0044] – [0045]. The magnets 233 are mounted in a magnetic material and are thus adjacent to and operatively associated with that magnetic material. The amendment to Claim 35 added the language previously presented in and now removed from Claim 34. The amendment to Claim 42 finds support, *inter alia*, paragraphs [0011], [0033] and [0036]. The amendment to Claim 51 is

Serial No. 10/649,793

Reply to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment

Attorney Docket No. 029211.52672US

a more generic description of the member of process used to construct the

claimed flywheel.

Applicants trust that the foregoing addresses the points raised in said

Notice. An early and favorable action on the merits is now earnestly solicited.

If there are any questions regarding this amendment or the application in

general, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this

should expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

If necessary to effect a timely response, this paper should be considered as

a petition for an Extension of Time sufficient to effect a timely response, and

please charge any deficiency in fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit

Account No. 05-1323 (Docket #029211.52672US).

Respectfully submitted,

July 3, 2008

nes F. McKeown

gistration No. 25,406

CROWELL & MORING LLP Intellectual Property Group

P.O. Box 14300

Washington, DC 20044-4300

Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500

Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844

JFM:slw

6028168\_1.DOC

Page 3 of 3